

Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2018-2019

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 378

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.34 out of 4.00

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Avera ge	Below Avera ge	Total	Grade
Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	211	119	36	12	1285	3.40
2. Weightage given to Employability	213	106	51	8	1280	3.39
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	205	99	61	13	1252	3.31
4. Weightage given to Skill development	192	131	49	6	1265	3.35
5. Weightage given to Project	178	149	40	11	1250	3.31
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	185	136	48	9	1253	3.31
7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	209	102	62	5	1271	3.36
8. Difficulty level of course content	177	126	63	12	1224	3.24
9. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	187	135	48	8	1257	3.33
10. Level of Course Outcomes	200	91	67	20	1227	3.25
11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadeningperspectives)	195	119	52	12	1253	3.31
12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	204	121	44	9	1276	3.38
13. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	200	119	47	12	1263	3.34
14. Overall rating	222	98	53	5	1293	3.42

IQAC - Coordinator Payanand Science College, Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

College Dayanand Scie LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2018-2019

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 64

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.47 out of 4.00

Parameters	Excelle nt	Good Good	2 Average	Below Averag e	Total	Grad e
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmentalneeds	36	22	5	1	221	3.45
2. Weightage given to Employability	32	25	5	2	215	3.36
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	27	28	8	1	209	3.27
4.Weightage given to Skill development	40	23	1	0	231	3.61
5. Weightage given to Project	34	26	3	1	221	3.45
Weightage given to practical and field work component	38	24	2	0	228	3.56
7. Depth of the course content	36	24	4	0	224	3.50
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	37	24	2	1	225	3.52
9. Difficulty level of course content	30	29	4	1	216	3.38
10. Optimization of course content	27	30	7	0	212	3.31
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	35	24	4	1	221	3.45
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	36	27	1	0	227	3.55
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	32	29	3	0	221	3.45
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	37	26	1	0	228	3.50
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	37	24	3	0	226	3.5
16. Overall rating	35	28	1	0	226	3.5

Agg a

IQAC - Coordinator IQAC - Coordinator Dayanand Science College, Latur, M.S. (INDIA) Dayanand Science College



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM EMPLOYER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2018-2019

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 29

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.48 out of 4.00

Parameters	Excellen t	Good Good	Avera ge	1 Below Average	Total	Grad e
1. Innovativeness and creativity	16	11	2	0	101	3.48
2. Weightage given to Employability / entrepreneurship /Skilldevelopment	15	14	0	0	102	3.52
3. Weightage given to practical, field work component	16	12	1	0	102	3.52
4. Depth of the course content	15	14	0	0	102	3.52
5. Curriculum proved useful at workplace	17	10	2	0	102	3.52
6. Incorporation of component about development of ability tomanage/leadershipqualities	17	12	0	0	104	3.59
7. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	15	10	4	0	98	3.38
8. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Referencematerial / Study material	16	13	0	0	103	3.55
9. Usage of Curriculum for development of human resource atyourindustry/workplace	15	11	3	0	99	3.41
10. Overall rating	15	11	3	0	99	3.41

IQAC-Coordinator

IQAC - Coordinator
Dayanand Science College,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

Dayanand Science College



FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM **ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM**

Academic Year 2018-2019

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 75

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.51 out of 4.00

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excelle nt	Good	Avera ge	Below Average	Total	Grade
Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmentalneeds	44	26	5	0	264	3.52
2. Weightage given to Employability	44	27	4	0	265	3.53
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	39	29	7	0	257	3.43
4. Weightage given to Skill development	44	28	3	0	266	3.55
5. Weightage given to Project	43	29	3	0	265	3.53
Weightage given to practical and field work component	42	29	4	0	263	3.51
7. Depth of the course content	38	31	6	0	257	3.43
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in thesubject	42	29	4	0	263	3.51
9. Difficulty level of course content	40	28	7	0	258	3.44
10. Optimization of course content	42	28	5	0	262	3.49
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	39	30	6	0	258	3.44
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	48	25	2	0	271	3.61
13. Level of Course Outcomes	45	27	3	0	267	3.56
14. Weightagegiven to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	41	29	5	0	261	3.48
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	45	26	4	0	266	3.55
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	39	31	4	0	257	3.43
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component	46	28	1	0	270	3.60
18.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content toslow learners	43	30	2	0	266	3.55
19. Overall rating	44	28	3	0	266	3.55

IQAC- Coordinator

Dayanand Science College, Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

Dayanand Science College LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM Feedback of Student (For Evaluation of the College)

Academic Year 2018-2019

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 426

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.33 out of 4.00

Sr. No.	Skills			-			
	Academic	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Total	Grade
1	Teaching	211	189	23	3	1460	3.43
2	Library	183	215	25	3	1430	3.36
3	Availability of books	169	207	33	17	1380	3.24
4	Reading Room facility	192	191	23	20	1407	3.30
5	Conduct of Examinations	201	192	27	6	1440	3.38
6	Co-operation of Principal	222	174	19	11	1459	3.42
7	Co-operation of Vice-Principal	228	168	26	4	1472	3.46
8	Co-operation of Teachers	220	174	23	9	1457	3.42
9	Co-operation of Office Staff	196	192	26	12	1424	3.34
10	Co-operation of Non-teaching Staff	224	176	18	8	1468	3.45
	Extra-C	Curricular (Benefits	")			
11	N.C.C.	197	191	28	10	1427	3.35
12	N.S.S.	203	196	18	9	1445	3.39
13	Sports	204	189	25	8	1441	3.38
14	Youth Festival	229	160	26	11	1459	3.42
15	Annual Social Gathering	230	163	23	10	1465	3.44
16	Carrier guidance	204	185	25	12	1433	3.36
	N	Non - Acade	mic				
17	Reading Room facilities	211	190	22	3	1461	3.43
18	Building of the College	191	192	33	10	1416	3.32
19	Class Room / Digital Class Room	201	185	29	11	1428	3.35
20	Health Club	217	140	57	12	1414	3.32

21	Boys Hostel / Girls Hostel	165	200	44	17	1365	3.20
22	Grievrence Redress Cell	172	205	34	15	1386	3.25
	Playground Facilities	190	189	34	13	1408	3.31
23	Ladies Room Facilities	176	192	38	20	1376	3.23
24	Boy Room Facilities	158	180	49	39	1309	3.07
26	Drinking Water Facilities	177	173	49	27	1352	3.17
27	Vehicles Parking Facilities	182	182	38	24	1374	3.23
28	Canteen Facilities	181	181	49	15	1380	3.24
29	Campus Development	187	199	28	12	1413	3.32
	Contribution	of the College	in				
30	Carrier development	201	190	24	11	1433	3.36
31	Personality development	186	190	35	15	1399	3.28
32	Knowledge development	190	193	32	11	1414	3.3
33	Help in Placements	181	169	46	30	1353	3.1

IQAC - Coordinator

IQAC - Coordinator

Dayanand Science College,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

PRINCIPAL
Dayanand Science College
LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM PARENT ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2018-2019

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 250

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.24 out of 4.00

Parameters	Excellen t	Good Good	Averag e	1 Below Average	Total	Grad e
. Suitable design of curriculum	108	127	10	5	838	3.35
2. Relevance of course content to the local needs	77	142	28	3	793	3.17
3. Promotion of learning experience of the students	101	111	31	7	806	3.22
4. Focus on Employability	89	122	33	6	794	3.18
5. Weightage given to practical and field work component	112	102	30	6	820	3.28
6. Inculcation of moral values	95	121	27	7	804	3.22
7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations	96	117	30	7	802	3.21
8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skilldevelopment	100	101	37	12	789	3.16
9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of	99	120	29	2	816	3.26
subject inthe curriculum 10. Optimization of course content	98	123	27	2	817	3.27
11. Promotion of research attitude	99	111	35	5	804	3.2
12. Importance of learning values	111	109	21	9	822	3.2
13.Overall rating	108	112	24	6	822	3.2

IQAC- Coordinator
IQAC - Coordinator
Dayanand Science College,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

Dayanand Science College