

Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 202

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.09out of 4.00

Parameters	Excel	3 G00	2 Avera	1 Below	Total	Grad
	lent	d	ge	Avera ge		e
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	50	126	25	01	629	3.11
2. Weightage given to Employability	51	113	32	06	613	3.03
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	56	93	44	09	600	2.97
4. Weightage given to Skill development	80	83	35	04	643	3.18
5. Weightage given to Project	75	97	28	02	649	3.21
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	94	75	26	07	660	3.27
7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	63	95	38	06	619	3.06
8. Difficulty level of course content	55	104	38	05	613	3.03
9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	58	97	40	07	610	3.02
10. Level of Course Outcomes	57	40	101	04	554	2.74
11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadeningperspectives)	74	95	32	01	646	3.20
12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	63	105	30	04	631	3.12
13. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	64	100	32	06	626	3.10
14. Overall rating	58	117	26	01	636	3.15

IQAC-Coordinator

IQAC - Coordinator

Payanand Science Coffege,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

PPRUSAPAL
Dayanand Science College
LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 50

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.26 out of 4.00

Parameters	Excelle nt	Good Good	2 Average	1 Below Averag	Total	Grad e
Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	25	22	3	e 0	172	3.44
2. Weightage given to Employability	24	23	3	0	171	3.42
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	26	19	5	0	171	3.42
4. Weightage given to Skill development	26	22	2	0	174	3.48
5. Weightage given to Project	29	18	3	0	176	3.52
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	30	18	2	0	178	3.56
7. Depth of the course content	29	20	1	0	178	3.56
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	27	22	1	0	176	3.52
9. Difficulty level of course content	24	25	1	0	173	3.46
10. Optimization of course content	23	24	3	0	170	3.40
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	25	22	3	0	172	3.44
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	25	23	2	0	173	3.46
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	24	23	3	0	171	3.42
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	28	20	2	0	176	3.52
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	26	22	2	0	174	3.48
16. Overall rating	28	22	0	0	178	3.56

IQAC-Coordinator

IQAC - Coordinator
IQAC - Coordinator
Dayanand Science College,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

PPRINIDAPAL

Dayanand Science College

LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM EMPLOYER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 32

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.46 out of 4.00

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excelle nt	Good	Aver age	Below Average	Total	Grade
Innovativeness and creativity	17	15	0	0	113	3.53
2. Weightage given to Employability / entrepreneurship/Skill Development	14	18	0	0	110	3.44
3. Weightage given to practical, field work component	17	13	2	0	111	3.47
4. Depth of the course content	16	15	1	0	111	3.47
5. Curriculum proved useful at workplace	13	18	1	0	108	3.38
6. Incorporation of component about development of ability to manage/leadership qualities	15	17	0	0	111	3.47
7. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	16	15	1	0	111	3.47
8. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	14	18	0	0	110	3.44
9. Usage of Curriculum for development of human resource at your industry/workplace	14	17	1	0	109	3.41
10. Overall rating	18	14	0	0	114	3.56

IQAC - Coordinator

Dayanand Science College, Latur, M.S. (INDIA) PRINCIPAL
Dayanand Science College
LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 60

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.45 out of 4.00

	4	3	2	1			
Parameters	Excellen	Good	Avera	Below	Total	Grad	
r ar ameters	t		ge	Avera ge		e	
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local	35	22	3	0	212	3.53	
developmental needs	33	22	3	U	212	3.33	
2. Weightage given to Employability	34	24	2	0	212	3.53	
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	35	20	5	0	210	3.50	
4. Weightage given to Skill development	37	15	8	0	209	3.48	
5. Weightage given to Project	33	21	6	0	207	3.45	
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	36	19	5	0	211	3.52	
7. Depth of the course content	38	19	3	0	215	3.58	
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	32	21	7	0	205	3.42	
9. Difficulty level of course content	-						
	29	23	8	0	201	3.35	
10. Optimization of course content	25	27	8	0	197	3.28	
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	35	17	7	1	206	3.43	
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	32	20	7	1	203	3.38	
13. Level of Course Outcomes	34	16	6	4	200	3.33	
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of							
knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	32	22	6	0	206	3.43	
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading /			-				
Reference material/ Study material	34	17	9	0	205	3.42	
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	36	18	6	0	210	3.50	
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component	35	19	6	0	209	3.48	
18.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course	22	10	10	-	255		
content to slow learners	32	18	10	0	202	3.37	
19. Overall rating	40	17	3	0	217	3.62	

IOAC - Coordinator IQAC - Coordinator Dayanand Science College, Latur, M.S. (INDIA) PRINCIPAL
Dayanand Science College
LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM Feedback of Student (For Evaluation of the College)

Academic Year 2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 130

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.05out of 4.00

Sr. No.	Skills	✓ one grade					
	Academic	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Total	Grade
1	Teaching	54	65	11	0	433	3.33
2	Library	48	66	16	0	422	3.25
3	Availability of books	44	63	21	2	409	3.15
4	Reading Room facility	40	50	36	4	386	2.97
5	Conduct of Examinations	46	68	13	2	416	3.20
6	Co-operation of Principal	54	70	4	2	436	3.35
7	Co-operation of Vice-Principal	86	41	3	0	473	3.64
8	Co-operation of Teachers	42	62	20	6	400	3.08
9	Co-operation of Office Staff	24	90	9	7	391	3.01
10	Co-operation of Non-teaching Staff	17	80	29	4	370	2.85
	Extra-C	urricular (1	Benefits))			
11	N.C.C.	21	74	32	3	373	2.87
12	N.S.S.	26	63	39	2	373	2.87
13	Sports	18	87	24	1	382	2.94
14	Youth Festival	33	49	43	5	370	2.85
15	Annual Social Gathering	43	70	13	4	412	3.17
16	Carrier guidance	30	45	43	12	353	2.72
	No	on - Academ	nic				
17	Reading Room facilities	20	71	32	7	364	2.80
18	Building of the College	54	64	12	0	432	3.32
19	Class Room / Digital Class Room	48	57	22	3	410	3.15
20	Health Club	19	65	37	9	354	2.72

21	Boys Hostel / Girls Hostel	34	66	25	5	389	2.99
22	Grievrence Redress Cell	57	43	17	13	404	3.11
23	Playground Facilities	25	78	20	7	381	2.93
24	Ladies Room Facilities	33	58	35	4	380	2.92
25	Boy Room Facilities	38	53	23	16	373	2.87
26	Drinking Water Facilities	47	68	14	1	421	3.24
27	Vehicles Parking Facilities	56	53	18	3	422	3.25
28	Canteen Facilities	45	66	13	6	410	3.15
29	Campus Development	59	60	9	2	436	3.35
	Contribu	ution of the	College	in			
30	Carrier development	47	50	27	6	398	3.06
31	Personality development	37	46	39	8	372	2.86
32	Knowledge development	43	52	22	13	385	2.96
33	Help in Placements	34	32	46	18	342	2.63

IQAC- Coordinator
IQAC - Coordinator
Dayanand Science College,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

PRINCIPAL
Dayanand Science College
LATUR



Dayanand Science College, Latur FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM **PARENT ON CURRICULUM**

Academic Year 2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 154

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.14 out of 4.00

	4	3	2	1		Ι
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Averag e	Total	Grade
1. Suitable design of curriculum	66	66	21	1	505	3.28
2. Relevance of course content to the local needs	60	63	25	6	485	3.15
3. Promotion of learning experience of the students	50	68	28	8	468	3.04
4. Focus on Employability	71	60	20	3	507	3.29
5. Weightage given to practical and field work component	59	57	34	4	479	3.11
6. Inculcation of moral values	57	61	30	6	477	3.10
7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations	60	66	23	5	489	3.18
8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship/Skilldevelopment	56	69	24	5	484	3.14
9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject inthe curriculum	48	68	36	2	470	3.05
10. Optimization of course content	52	70	30	2	480	3.12
11. Promotion of research attitude	54	64	30	6	474	3.08
12. Importance of learning values	66	62	19	7	495	3.21
13.Overall rating	62	53	32	7	478	3.10

IQAC - Coordinator
IQAC - Coordinator
Dayanand Science College,
Latur, M.S. (INDIA)

Dayanand Science College